

**In the United States Court of Federal Claims**  
**OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS**  
**No. 18-0329V**  
**(not to be published)**

MATTHEW RHODES,

Petitioner,

v.

SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND  
HUMAN SERVICES,

Respondent.

Chief Special Master Corcoran

Filed: November 19, 2019

Special Processing Unit (SPU);  
Attorney's Fees and Costs

*Phyllis Widman, Jacobs & Barbone, Atlantic City, NJ, for Petitioner.*

*Debra A. Filteau Begley, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for Respondent.*

**DECISION ON ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS<sup>1</sup>**

On March 5, 2018, Matthew Rhodes, ("Petitioner"), filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 42 U.S.C. §300aa-10, *et seq.*<sup>2</sup> (the "Vaccine Act"). Petitioner alleges that he suffered a shoulder injury related to vaccine administration ("SIRVA") caused by a tetanus, diphtheria, acellular pertussis ("Tdap") vaccination administered on August 1, 2017. (Petition at 1). On October 3, 2019, a decision was issued awarding compensation to Petitioner based on the parties' stipulation. (ECF No. 42).

---

<sup>1</sup> Because this unpublished ruling contains a reasoned explanation for the action in this case, I am required to post it on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2012) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services). **This means the ruling will be available to anyone with access to the internet.** In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), Petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, I agree that the identified material fits within this definition, I will redact such material from public access.

<sup>2</sup> National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all "§" references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2012).

Petitioner has now filed a motion for attorney's fees and costs, dated July 10, 2019, (ECF No. 36), requesting a total award of \$16,365.00 (representing \$15,540.00 in fees and \$825.00 in costs). In accordance with General Order #9, Petitioner's counsel filed a signed statement that Petitioner incurred no out-of-pocket expenses. (ECF No. 36-4.) Respondent reacted to the motion on July 24, 2019, indicating that he is satisfied that the statutory requirements for an award of attorney's fees and costs are met in this case and defers to the Court's discretion to determine the amount to be awarded. (ECF No. 37). Petitioner did not file a reply thereafter. On July 24, 2019, counsel for Petitioner, through email correspondence, informed the staff attorney managing that the fee application filed on July 10, 2019, was intended for final fees and not interim fees. Counsel for Respondent was copied on all correspondence. See Informal Remark, July 26, 2019.

I have reviewed the billing records submitted with Petitioner's request. In my experience, the request appears reasonable, and I find no cause to reduce the requested hours or rates.

The Vaccine Act permits an award of reasonable attorney's fees and costs. § 15(e). Accordingly, I hereby GRANT Petitioner's motion for attorney's fees and costs. I award a total of **\$16,365.00** (representing \$15,540.00 in fees and \$825.00 in costs) as a lump sum in the form of a check jointly payable to Petitioner and Petitioner's counsel. In the absence of a timely-filed motion for review (see Appendix B to the Rules of the Court), the Clerk shall enter judgment in accordance with this decision.<sup>3</sup>

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

s/Brian H. Corcoran  
Brian H. Corcoran  
Chief Special Master

---

<sup>3</sup> Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), the parties may expedite entry of judgment by filing a joint notice renouncing their right to seek review.